Regression Estimation - Least Squares and Maximum Likelihood

Dr. Frank Wood

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Least Squares Max(min)imization

1. Function to minimize w.r.t. β_0, β_1

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i))^2$$

- 2. Minimize this by maximizing -Q
- 3. Find partials and set both equal to zero

$$rac{dQ}{deta_0} = 0$$

 $rac{dQ}{deta_1} = 0$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Normal Equations

1. The result of this maximization step are called the normal equations. b_0 and b_1 are called point estimators of β_0 and β_1 respectively.

$$\sum Y_i = nb_0 + b_1 \sum X_i$$

$$\sum X_i Y_i = b_0 \sum X_i + b_1 \sum X_i^2$$

2. This is a system of two equations and two unknowns. The solution is given by ...

Solution to Normal Equations

After a lot of algebra one arrives at

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X}$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n}$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum Y_i}{n}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Least Squares Fit



▲ロト ▲園ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへ(で)

Guess #1



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ○ のへ(?)

Guess #2



▲ロト ▲園ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへ(で)

Looking Ahead: Matrix Least Squares

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 1 \\ X_2 & 1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへで

Solution to this equation is solution to least squares linear regression (and maximum likelihood under normal error distribution assumption)

Questions to Ask

- 1. Is the relationship really linear?
- 2. What is the distribution of the of "errors"?
- 3. Is the fit good?
- 4. How much of the variability of the response is accounted for by including the predictor variable?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

5. Is the chosen predictor variable the best one?

Is This Better?



▲ロト ▲園ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへ(で)

Goals for First Half of Course

1. How to do linear regression

 $1.1\,$ Self familiarization with software tools

- 2. How to interpret standard linear regression results
- 3. How to derive tests
- 4. How to assess and address deficiencies in regression models

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Estimators for $\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2$

- 1. We want to establish properties of estimators for β_0, β_1 , and σ^2 so that we can construct hypothesis tests and so forth
- 2. We will start by establishing some properties of the regression solution.

1. The i^{th} residual is defined to be

$$e_i = Y_i - \hat{Y}_i$$

2. The sum of the residuals is zero:

$$\sum_{i} e_{i} = \sum_{i} (Y_{i} - b_{0} - b_{1}X_{i})$$
$$= \sum_{i} Y_{i} - nb_{0} - b_{1}\sum_{i} X_{i}$$
$$= 0$$

The sum of the observed values Y_i equals the sum of the fitted values \widehat{Y}_i

$$\sum_{i} Y_{i} = \sum_{i} \hat{Y}_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i} (b_{1}X_{i} + b_{0})$$

$$= \sum_{i} (b_{1}X_{i} + \bar{Y} - b_{1}\bar{X})$$

$$= b_{1}\sum_{i} X_{i} + n\bar{Y} - b_{1}n\bar{X}$$

$$= b_{1}n\bar{X} + \sum_{i} Y_{i} - b_{1}n\bar{X}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

The sum of the weighted residuals is zero when the residual in the i^{th} trial is weighted by the level of the predictor variable in the i^{th} trial

$$\sum_{i} X_{i} e_{i} = \sum_{i} (X_{i} (Y_{i} - b_{0} - b_{1} X_{i}))$$

=
$$\sum_{i} X_{i} Y_{i} - b_{0} \sum X_{i} - b_{1} \sum (X_{i}^{2})$$

= 0

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

The regression line always goes through the point

 \bar{X}, \bar{Y}

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Estimating Error Term Variance σ^2

- 1. Review estimation in non-regression setting.
- 2. Show estimation results for regression setting.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

Estimation Review

- 1. An estimator is a rule that tells how to calculate the value of an estimate based on the measurements contained in a sample
- 2. i.e. the sample mean

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Point Estimators and Bias

1. Point estimator

$$\hat{\theta} = f(\{Y_1,\ldots,Y_n\})$$

2. Unknown quantity / parameter

θ

3. Definition: Bias of estimator

$$B(\hat{ heta}) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{ heta}) - heta$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

One Sample Example



Distribution of Estimator

1. If the estimator is a function of the samples and the distribution of the samples is known then the distribution of the estimator can (often) be determined

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 1.1 Methods
 - 1.1.1 Distribution (CDF) functions
 - 1.1.2 Transformations
 - $1.1.3\,$ Moment generating functions
 - 1.1.4 Jacobians (change of variable)

Example

1. Samples from a $Normal(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution

 $Y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

2. Estimate the population mean

$$\theta = \mu, \quad \hat{\theta} = \bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Sampling Distribution of the Estimator

1. First moment

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = E(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(Y_i) = \frac{n\mu}{n} = \theta$$

2. This is an example of an unbiased estimator

$$B(\hat{ heta}) = E(\hat{ heta}) - heta = 0$$

Variance of Estimator

1. Definition: Variance of estimator

$$V(\hat{\theta}) = E([\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})]^2)$$

2. Remember:

$$V(cY) = c^2 V(Y)$$
$$V(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n V(Y_i)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Only if the Y_i are independent with finite variance

Example Estimator Variance

1. For N(0,1) mean estimator

$$V(\hat{\theta}) = V(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}V(Y_i) = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

2. Note assumptions

Distribution of sample mean estimator



▲ロト ▲聞 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - の Q ()~

Bias Variance Trade-off

1. The mean squared error of an estimator

$$MSE(\hat{ heta}) = E([\hat{ heta} - heta]^2)$$

2. Can be re-expressed

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = V(\hat{\theta}) + (B(\hat{\theta})^2)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

```
MSE = VAR + BIAS^2
```

Proof

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = E((\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2)$$

$$= E(([\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})] + [E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta])^2)$$

$$= E([\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})]^2) + 2E([E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta][\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})]) + E([E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta]]^2)$$

$$= V(\hat{\theta}) + 2E([E(\hat{\theta})[\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})] - \theta[\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})])) + (B(\hat{\theta}))^2$$

$$= V(\hat{\theta}) + 2(0 + 0) + (B(\hat{\theta}))^2$$

$$= V(\hat{\theta}) + (B(\hat{\theta}))^2$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Trade-off

- Think of variance as confidence and bias as correctness.
 1.1 Intuitions (largely) apply
- 2. Sometimes a biased estimator can produce lower MSE if it lowers the variance.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Estimating Error Term Variance σ^2

- 1. Regression model
- 2. Variance of each observation Y_i is σ^2 (the same as for the error term ϵ_i)
- 3. Each Y_i comes from a different probability distribution with different means that depend on the level X_i
- 4. The deviation of an observation Y_i must be calculated around its own estimated mean.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

s^2 estimator for σ^2

$$s^{2} = MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-2} = \frac{\sum(Y_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2}}{n-2} = \frac{\sum e_{i}^{2}}{n-2}$$

1. MSE is an unbiased estimator of σ^2

$$E(MSE) = \sigma^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

2. The sum of squares SSE has n-2 degrees of freedom associated with it.

Normal Error Regression Model

- 1. No matter how the error terms ϵ_i are distributed, the least squares method provides unbiased point estimators of β_0 and β_1
 - $1.1\,$ that also have minimum variance among all unbiased linear estimators

- 2. To set up interval estimates and make tests we need to specify the distribution of the ϵ_i
- 3. We will assume that the ϵ_i are normally distributed.

Normal Error Regression Model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i$$

- 1. Y_i value of the response variable in the i^{th} trial
- 2. β_0 and β_1 are parameters
- 3. X_i is a known constant, the value of the predictor variable in the i^{th} trial

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

4. $\epsilon_i \sim_{iid} N(0, \sigma^2)$ 5. i = 1, ..., n

Notational Convention

- 1. When you see $\epsilon_i \sim_{iid} N(0, \sigma^2)$
- 2. It is read as ϵ_i is distributed identically and independently according to a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2

3. Examples

3.1 $\theta \sim Poisson(\lambda)$ 3.2 $z \sim G(\theta)$

Maximum Likelihood Principle

The method of maximum likelihood chooses as estimates those values of the parameters that are most consistent with the sample data.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Likelihood Function

lf

$$X_i \sim F(\Theta), i = 1 \dots n$$

then the likelihood function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n,\Theta)=\prod_{i=1}^n F(X_i;\Theta)$$

Example, N(10, 3) Density, Single Obs.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ = ○ ○ ○ ○

Example, N(10,3) Density, Single Obs. Again



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Example, N(10, 3) Density, Multiple Obs.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ ● ● ● ●

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

1. The likelihood function can be maximized w.r.t. the parameter(s) Θ , doing this one can arrive at estimators for parameters as well.

$$\mathcal{L}(\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n,\Theta)=\prod_{i=1}^n F(X_i;\Theta)$$

2. To do this, find solutions to (analytically or by following gradient)

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}(\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n,\Theta)}{d\Theta}=0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Important Trick

Never (almost) maximize the likelihood function, maximize the log likelihood function instead.

$$log(\mathcal{L}(\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n, \Theta)) = log(\prod_{i=1}^n F(X_i; \Theta))$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n log(F(X_i; \Theta))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Quite often the log of the density is easier to work with mathematically.

ML Normal Regression

Likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2} \end{aligned}$$

which if you maximize (how?) w.r.t. to the parameters you get...

Maximum Likelihood Estimator(s)

1. β_0

 b_0 same as in least squares case

2. β_1

 b_1 same as in least squares case

3. *σ*₂

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_i (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{n}$$

4. Note that ML estimator is biased as s^2 is unbiased and

$$s^2 = MSE = \frac{n}{n-2}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Comments

- 1. Least squares minimizes the squared error between the prediction and the true output
- 2. The normal distribution is fully characterized by its first two central moments (mean and variance)
- 3. Food for thought:
 - 3.1 What does the bias in the ML estimator of the error variance mean? And where does it come from?