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- $(X, Y) \sim \mu$ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ (topological space) with marginals $\mu_{X} \& \mu_{Y}$
- Informal goal: Construct a coefficient that can measure the strength of association/dependence between $X \& Y$ beyond simply testing for independence
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Question: What are nonparametric analogs of Pearson's correlation?

- Want: A measure of association that:
(a) equals 0 iff $X \Perp Y$,
(b) equals 1 iff $Y$ is a (measurable) function of $X$, and
(c) any value in $[0,1]$ conveys an idea of the strength of the relationship between $X$ and $Y$
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(c) any value in $[0,1]$ conveys an idea of the strength of the relationship between $X$ and $Y$
- Testing for independence: For the past century, most measures of association/dependence only focus on testing $X \Perp Y$, i.e., they equal 0 iff $Y \Perp X$; e.g., distance correlation (Székely et al., 2007), Hilbert-Schmidt independence criterion (Gretton et al., 2008), graph-based measures (Friedman and Rafsky, 1983), etc.
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- Bottleneck: They rely on the canonical ordering of $\mathcal{Y}=\mathbb{R}$

We consider the case when $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are general topological spaces (e.g., metric spaces)

## Outline

(1) Family of Measures of Association

- A measure of dependence on Euclidean spaces
- Extending to a class of kernel measures
(2) Estimating the Kernel Measure of Association (KMAc)
- The estimator
- Consistency and rate of convergence
- Central limit theorem
- Computational complexity
(3) Other Applications of Kernels and Geometric Graphs
- A measure of conditional dependence
- A measure of dissimilarity between $M$-distributions
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- When $Y \Perp X, \mu_{Y \mid X}=\mu_{Y}$. When $Y$ is a measurable function of $X$, $\mu_{Y \mid X}$ is a degenerate measure
- Define
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- $\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ is generated as: $X^{\prime} \sim \mu_{X}$ and then $Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ i.i.d. $\mu_{Y \mid X^{\prime}}$ (i.e., $Y^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ are conditionally independent given $X^{\prime}$ )
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- Showing that $T=0 \Rightarrow Y \Perp X$ is more complicated!

Theorem [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]
Suppose $\mathbb{E}\left\|Y_{1}\right\|_{2}<\infty$. Then

- $T \in[0,1]$
- $T=0 \quad$ iff $\quad Y \Perp X$
- $T=1 \quad$ iff $\quad Y$ is a (noiseless) measurable function of $X$.
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- In many nonparametric regression models, $T$ turns out to be a monotonic function of the degree of dependence between $Y$ and $X$
$T$ captures the strength of the relationship between $Y$ and $X$
$\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}\right) \sim \mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4} ; \quad\left(X^{(1)}, Y^{(1)}\right),\left(X^{(2)}, Y^{(2)}\right)$ are i.i.d.
W-shape: $Y^{(1)}=\left|X^{(1)}+0.5\right| \mathbf{1}_{X^{(1)} \leq 0}+\left|X^{(1)}-0.5\right| \mathbf{1}_{X^{(1)}>0}+0.75 \lambda \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ with varying $\lambda ; \quad X \sim$ Uniform $[-1,1]$
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## Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHS)

- $\mathcal{H}$ : Hilbert space ${ }^{2}$ of functions from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathbb{R}$
- Kernel function: A symmetric nonnegative definite function on $\mathcal{Y}$, i.e., $K: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} K\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right) \geq 0
$$

for all $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $m \geq 1$
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$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} K\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right) \geq 0
$$

for all $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $m \geq 1$

- For all $y \in \mathcal{Y}, \quad K(y, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}, \quad($ note $K(y, \cdot): \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathcal{Y})$
- Identify $y \mapsto K(y, \cdot)$ (feature map)
- Gaussian kernel: $k(u, v):=\exp \left(-\|u-v\|_{2}^{2}\right)$
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## Moore-Aronszajn Theorem

Suppose $K(\cdot, \cdot): \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative definite kernel. Then there exists a Hilbert space $\left(\mathcal{H},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)$ comprising $\{f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ such that:

- $K(y, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}$;
- (Reproducing property) For all $f \in \mathcal{H}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$
\langle f, K(y, \cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=f(y)
$$
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- $\left\langle K\left(y_{1}, \cdot\right), K\left(y_{2}, \cdot\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=K\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$
- Using the above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|K\left(y_{1}, \cdot\right)-K\left(y_{2}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \\
= & \left\langle K\left(y_{1}, \cdot\right), K\left(y_{1}, \cdot\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}+\left\langle K\left(y_{2}, \cdot\right), K\left(y_{2}, \cdot\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}-2\left\langle K\left(y_{1}, \cdot\right), K\left(y_{2}, \cdot\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
= & K\left(y_{1}, y_{1}\right)+K\left(y_{2}, y_{2}\right)-2 K\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Suppose $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is characteristic and $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)<\infty$. Then:

- $\eta_{K} \in[0,1]$,
- $\eta_{K}=0 \quad$ iff $\quad Y \Perp X$,
- $\eta_{K}=1 \quad$ iff $\quad Y$ is a noiseless measurable function of $X$.
- A kernel is characteristic if
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\mathbb{E}_{P}[K(Y, \cdot)]=\mathbb{E}_{Q}[K(Y, \cdot)] \Longrightarrow P=Q
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for probability measures $P$ and $Q$.

## Examples of Characteristic Kernels

Some examples of characteristic kernels [Gretton et al., 2012, Sejdinovic et al., 2013, Lyons 2013, 2014] include:

- (Distance) $K\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right):=\left\|y_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|y_{2}\right\|_{2}-\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{2}$. In this case,

$$
\eta_{K}=T
$$

## Examples of Characteristic Kernels

Some examples of characteristic kernels [Gretton et al., 2012, Sejdinovic et al., 2013, Lyons 2013, 2014] include:

- (Distance) $K\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right):=\left\|y_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|y_{2}\right\|_{2}-\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{2}$. In this case,

$$
\eta_{K}=T
$$

- Bounded kernels: (Gaussian) $K\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right):=\exp \left(-\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)$ and (Laplacian) $K\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right):=\exp \left(-\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{1}\right)$
- For non-Euclidean domains such as video filtering, robotics, text documents, human action recognition, characteristic kernels constructed in Fukumizu et al., 2009, Danafar et al., 2010, Christmann and Steinwart, 2010, ...
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## Estimation Strategy

- Suppose $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right) \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} \mu$ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$
- $\mathcal{X}$ is endowed with metric $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\cdot, \cdot)$
- Recall

$$
\eta_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}
$$

## Estimation Strategy

- Suppose $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right) \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} \mu$ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$
- $\mathcal{X}$ is endowed with metric $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\cdot, \cdot)$
- Recall

$$
\eta_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}
$$

- By standard U-statistics theory,

$$
\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right) \approx \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)
$$

- Hardest term to estimate is $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ !
- Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a finite set. Then, $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ can be handled as
$\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mid X^{\prime}\right]\right] \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\left\{j: X_{j}=X_{i}\right\}\right|} \sum_{j: X_{j}=X_{i}} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)$
- Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a finite set. Then, $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ can be handled as

$$
\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mid X^{\prime}\right]\right] \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\left\{j: X_{j}=X_{i}\right\}\right|} \sum_{j: X_{j}=X_{i}} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)
$$

- If $X$ is continuous, replace $X_{j}=X_{i}$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ being "small"


## Geometric graph

- A graph $G_{n}$ on $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ which joins points that are "close" to each other
- For example, consider a $k$-nearest neighbor graph ( $k$-NNG): Join every point on $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ to its first $k$ nearest neighbors


Estimate $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ by replacing

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\left\{j: X_{j}=X_{i}\right\}\right|} \sum_{j: X_{j}=X_{i}} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)
$$

with

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)
$$

where $E\left(G_{n}\right)$ is edge set of $G_{n} \quad$ and $\quad d_{i}$ is the degree of $X_{i}$

Estimate $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ by replacing

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\left\{j: X_{j}=X_{i}\right\}\right|} \sum_{j: X_{j}=X_{i}} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)
$$

with

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)
$$

where $E\left(G_{n}\right)$ is edge set of $G_{n} \quad$ and $\quad d_{i}$ is the degree of $X_{i}$

## Geometric graph-based estimator

Now

$$
\eta_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}
$$

can be estimated by

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n}:=\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)} .
$$

(1) Family of Measures of Association

- A measure of dependence on Euclidean spaces
- Extending to a class of kernel measures
(2) Estimating the Kernel Measure of Association (KMAc)
- The estimator
- Consistency and rate of convergence
- Central limit theorem
- Computational complexity
(3) Other Applications of Kernels and Geometric Graphs
- A measure of conditional dependence
- A measure of dissimilarity between $M$-distributions


## Theorem (informal) [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]

Suppose $G_{n}$ satisfies the "close"-ness condition in the sense that:

$$
\frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} \rho_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)}{\left|E\left(G_{n}\right)\right|} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0,
$$

and $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)^{2+\epsilon}<\infty$ (and other mild technical conditions), then

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta_{K} .
$$

- In particular, $\quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$


## Theorem (informal) [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]

Suppose $G_{n}$ satisfies the "close"-ness condition in the sense that:

$$
\frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} \rho_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)}{\left|E\left(G_{n}\right)\right|} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0,
$$

and $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)^{2+\epsilon}<\infty$ (and other mild technical conditions), then

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta_{K} .
$$

- In particular, $\quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$
- No smoothness assumptions needed on conditional distribution of $Y \mid X$ - motivated directly from the approach used in Chatterjee, 2019, Azadkia and Chatterjee, 2019.


## Theorem (informal) [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]

Suppose $G_{n}$ satisfies the "close" -ness condition in the sense that:

$$
\frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} \rho_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)}{\left|E\left(G_{n}\right)\right|} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0,
$$

and $\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)^{2+\epsilon}<\infty$ (and other mild technical conditions), then

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta_{K} .
$$

- In particular, $\quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)$
- No smoothness assumptions needed on conditional distribution of $Y \mid X$ - motivated directly from the approach used in Chatterjee, 2019, Azadkia and Chatterjee, 2019.
- For $k$-NNGs, $\hat{\eta}_{n}$ is consistent provided $k=o(n / \log n)$
- Thus, for consistent estimation, a 1-NNG can be chosen


## Rate of convergence (for $k$-NNG)

## Theorem (informal) [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]

Suppose $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded, $\mathbb{E}[K(Y, \cdot) \mid X=\cdot]$ is Lipschitz with respect to $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the support of $\mu_{X}$ has intrinsic dimension $d_{0}$. Then

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n}-\eta_{K}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \log n\right) & \text { if } d_{0} \leq 2 \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{1 / d_{0}} \log n\right) & \text { if } d_{0}>2\end{cases}
$$

- Estimation rate automatically adapts to intrinsic dimension of $\mu_{X}$


## Rate of convergence (for $k$-NNG)

## Theorem (informal) [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]

Suppose $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded, $\mathbb{E}[K(Y, \cdot) \mid X=\cdot]$ is Lipschitz with respect to $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the support of $\mu_{X}$ has intrinsic dimension $d_{0}$. Then

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n}-\eta_{K}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \log n\right) & \text { if } d_{0} \leq 2, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{1 / d_{0}} \log n\right) & \text { if } d_{0}>2 .\end{cases}
$$

- Estimation rate automatically adapts to intrinsic dimension of $\mu_{X}$
- Note: $\quad \hat{\eta}_{n}-\eta_{K}=\underbrace{\left(\hat{\eta}_{n}-\mathbb{E} \hat{\eta}_{n}\right)}_{\text {Variance term } n^{-1 / 2}}+\underbrace{\left(\mathbb{E} \hat{\eta}_{n}-\eta_{K}\right)}_{\text {Bias term } \uparrow k}$
- When $Y \Perp X$ : Bias is always 0 , and variance improves with $k$ useful in independence testing.
(1) Family of Measures of Association
- A measure of dependence on Euclidean spaces
- Extending to a class of kernel measures
(2) Estimating the Kernel Measure of Association (KMAc)
- The estimator
- Consistency and rate of convergence
- Central limit theorem
- Computational complexity
(3) Other Applications of Kernels and Geometric Graphs
- A measure of conditional dependence
- A measure of dissimilarity between $M$-distributions


## Limiting Distribution under Independence (general graph)

Theorem (informal) [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]
Suppose $\mu=\mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y}$, then there exists a sequence of random variables $V_{n}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ such that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{n} \hat{\eta}_{n}}{V_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1) .
$$

## Limiting Distribution under Independence (general graph)

## Theorem (informal) [Deb, Ghosal and S. (2020+)]

Suppose $\mu=\mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y}$, then there exists a sequence of random variables $V_{n}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ such that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{n} \hat{\eta}_{n}}{V_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1) .
$$

- Result: A uniform CLT holds for a suitable class of graphs $\mathcal{G}_{n}$, i.e.,

$$
\sup _{G_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n} \hat{\eta}_{n}}{V_{n}} \leq x\right)-\Phi(x)\right| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0
$$

- Theorem holds for data driven choices $\hat{G}_{n}$ if $\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{G}_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$
- $V_{n}$ can be computed from the data


## Test of Independence

- Consider the testing problem:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=\mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y} \quad \text { vs } \quad \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y} .
$$

- Recall: $\eta_{K}=0$ iff $\mu=\mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y}, \quad \eta_{K}>0$ otherwise, $\hat{\eta}_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta_{K}$.
- A natural level- $\alpha$ test (for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ ):

$$
\text { Reject } H_{0} \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{\sqrt{n} \hat{\eta}_{n}}{V_{n}} \geq z_{\alpha}
$$

- Consistent and maintains level, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{0}}\left(\text { Reject } \mathrm{H}_{0}\right)=\alpha, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{1}}\left(\text { Reject } \mathrm{H}_{0}\right)=1
$$

## Test of Independence

- Consider the testing problem:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=\mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y} \quad \text { vs } \quad \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y} .
$$

- Recall: $\eta_{K}=0$ iff $\mu=\mu_{X} \otimes \mu_{Y}, \quad \eta_{K}>0$ otherwise, $\hat{\eta}_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta_{K}$.
- A natural level- $\alpha$ test (for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ ):

$$
\text { Reject } H_{0} \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{\sqrt{n} \hat{\eta}_{n}}{V_{n}} \geq z_{\alpha}
$$

- Consistent and maintains level, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{0}}\left(\text { Reject } \mathrm{H}_{0}\right)=\alpha, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{1}}\left(\text { Reject } \mathrm{H}_{0}\right)=1
$$

- What is the computational complexity?
(1) Family of Measures of Association
- A measure of dependence on Euclidean spaces
- Extending to a class of kernel measures
(2) Estimating the Kernel Measure of Association (KMAc)
- The estimator
- Consistency and rate of convergence
- Central limit theorem
- Computational complexity
(3) Other Applications of Kernels and Geometric Graphs
- A measure of conditional dependence
- A measure of dissimilarity between $M$-distributions


## Computational Complexity

- Suppose $G_{n}$ is the $k$-NNG; computable in $\mathcal{O}(k n \log n)$ time
- Recall

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n}=\frac{\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}(k n \log n)}}{\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}(n)}-\underbrace{\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)}_{(\star)}}
$$

## Computational Complexity

- Suppose $G_{n}$ is the $k$-NNG; computable in $\mathcal{O}(k n \log n)$ time
- Recall

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n}=\frac{\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}(k n \log n)}}{\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i}\right)}_{\mathcal{O}(n)}-\underbrace{\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)}_{(\star)}}
$$

- $\sum_{i, j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(Y_{i}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$
- ( $\star$ ) can be computed in linear time if $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$ is exactly computable, e.g., $K\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)=\left\langle y_{i}, y_{j}\right\rangle$
- Yields a near linear time test for independence; cf. distance correlation (or HSIC) takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} B\right)$ time if $B$ permutations are used


## Summary

- Class of kernel measures of association (KMAc) when $\mathcal{Y}$ admits a nonnegative definite kernel
- Class of graph-based, consistent estimators ( $\mathcal{X}$ - metric space) for KMAc without smoothness on the conditional distribution
- When $k$-NNG is used, the rate of convergence automatically adapts to the intrinsic dimension of the support of $\mu_{X}$
- Established a pivotal Gaussian limit uniformly over a class of graphs
- A near linear time estimator + a near linear time test of statistical independence


## Summary

- Class of kernel measures of association (KMAc) when $\mathcal{Y}$ admits a nonnegative definite kernel
- Class of graph-based, consistent estimators ( $\mathcal{X}$ - metric space) for KMAc without smoothness on the conditional distribution
- When $k$-NNG is used, the rate of convergence automatically adapts to the intrinsic dimension of the support of $\mu_{X}$
- Established a pivotal Gaussian limit uniformly over a class of graphs
- A near linear time estimator + a near linear time test of statistical independence
- In the paper, when $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are Euclidean, we propose another class of measures and estimators that is distribution-free under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$


## Simulations (choice of $k$ )

$\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}\right) \sim \mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4} ; \quad\left(X^{(1)}, Y^{(1)}\right),\left(X^{(2)}, Y^{(2)}\right)$ are i.i.d.

- W-shape:

$$
Y^{(1)}=\left|X^{(1)}+0.5\right| \mathbf{1}_{X^{(1)} \leq 0}+\left|X^{(1)}-0.5\right| \mathbf{1}_{X^{(1)}>0}+0.75 \lambda \epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ with varying $\lambda ; \quad X \sim \operatorname{Uniform}[-1,1]$

- Sinusoidal:

$$
Y^{(1)}=\cos \left(8 \pi X^{(1)}\right)+3 \lambda \epsilon
$$

$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ with varying $\lambda$.

Sample size $n=300$

## ( $K_{G}$-Gaussian kernel, $K_{D}$-Distance kernel)




Sinusoidal

## Outline

(1) Family of Measures of Association

- A measure of dependence on Euclidean spaces
- Extending to a class of kernel measures
(2) Estimating the Kernel Measure of Association (KMAc)
- The estimator
- Consistency and rate of convergence
- Central limit theorem
- Computational complexity
(3) Other Applications of Kernels and Geometric Graphs
- A measure of conditional dependence
- A measure of dissimilarity between M-distributions


## Measure of Conditional Dependence ${ }^{3}$

- Suppose $(X, Y, Z) \sim \mu$ on some topological space $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$
- Goal: Measure the strength of conditional dependence between $Y$ and $X$ given $Z$

[^2]
## Measure of Conditional Dependence ${ }^{3}$

- Suppose $(X, Y, Z) \sim \mu$ on some topological space $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$
- Goal: Measure the strength of conditional dependence between $Y$ and $X$ given $Z$
- Question: Can we define $\tau \equiv \tau(Y, X \mid Z)$ satisfying:
(i) $\tau \in[0,1]$;
(ii) $\tau=0 \quad$ iff $\quad Y \Perp X \mid Z$;
(iii) $\tau=1 \quad$ iff $\quad Y$ is a measurable function of $X$ and $Z$.

[^3]
## Measure of Conditional Dependence ${ }^{3}$

- Suppose $(X, Y, Z) \sim \mu$ on some topological space $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$
- Goal: Measure the strength of conditional dependence between $Y$ and $X$ given $Z$
- Question: Can we define $\tau \equiv \tau(Y, X \mid Z)$ satisfying:
(i) $\tau \in[0,1]$;
(ii) $\tau=0 \quad$ iff $\quad Y \Perp X \mid Z$;
(iii) $\tau=1 \quad$ iff $\quad Y$ is a measurable function of $X$ and $Z$.
- Applications: Model-free variable selection, modeling causal relations in graphical models, ...
- We propose and study a class of nonparametric yet interpretable measures and their estimates, a sub-class of which can be computed in near linear time

[^4]- Recall KMAc (for measuring dependence between $Y$ and $X$ ):

$$
\eta_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\mu_{Y \mid X}, \mu_{Y}\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\delta_{Y}, \mu_{Y}\right)\right]}
$$

where $X^{\prime} \sim \mu_{X}, Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ are drawn independently from $\mu_{Y \mid X^{\prime}}$

- MMD is the maximum mean discrepancy - a distance metric between two probability distributions depending on the kernel $K(\cdot, \cdot)$
- Recall KMAc (for measuring dependence between $Y$ and $X$ ):

$$
\eta_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\mu_{Y \mid X}, \mu_{Y}\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\delta_{Y}, \mu_{Y}\right)\right]}
$$

where $X^{\prime} \sim \mu_{X}, Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ are drawn independently from $\mu_{Y \mid X^{\prime}}$

- MMD is the maximum mean discrepancy - a distance metric between two probability distributions depending on the kernel $K(\cdot, \cdot)$


## Measuring conditional dependence between $Y$ and $X$ given $Z$

- Kernel partial correlation (KPC) coefficient:

$$
\tau_{K}:=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\mu_{Y \mid X Z}, \mu_{Y \mid Z}\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\delta_{Y}, \mu_{Y \mid Z}\right)\right]}
$$

- Recall KMAc (for measuring dependence between $Y$ and $X$ ):

$$
\eta_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\mu_{Y \mid X}, \mu_{Y}\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{MMD}^{2}\left(\delta_{Y}, \mu_{Y}\right)\right]}
$$

where $X^{\prime} \sim \mu_{X}, Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ are drawn independently from $\mu_{Y \mid X^{\prime}}$

- MMD is the maximum mean discrepancy - a distance metric between two probability distributions depending on the kernel $K(\cdot, \cdot)$


## Measuring conditional dependence between $Y$ and $X$ given $Z$

- Kernel partial correlation (KPC) coefficient:

$$
\tau_{K}:=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{MMD}^{2}\left(\mu_{Y \mid X Z}, \mu_{Y \mid Z}\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{MMD}^{2}\left(\delta_{Y}, \mu_{Y \mid Z}\right)\right]}=\frac{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{2}^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)}{\mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where: (i) $\left(X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) \sim \mu_{X Z} \quad$ and $\quad Y_{2}^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}_{2}^{\prime} \quad$ are i.i.d. $\mu_{Y \mid\left(X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)}$,
(ii) $\quad Z^{\prime} \sim \mu_{Z} \quad$ and $\quad Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime} \quad$ are i.i.d. $\mu_{Y \mid Z^{\prime}}$

Can again employ a geometric graph-based estimation strategy

- For example, we can estimate

$$
\tau_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y_{2}^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \mid X, Z\right]\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mid Z\right]\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mid Z\right]\right]}
$$

by a 1-NNG by

$$
\hat{\tau}_{n}:=\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{\dot{N}(i)}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{N(i)}\right)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{N(i)}\right)}
$$

where $\left(X_{\ddot{N}(i)}, Z_{\ddot{N}(i)}\right)$ is NN of $\left(X_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$ and $Y_{\dot{N}(i)}$ is the corr. $Y$-value, and $Z_{N(i)}$ is NN of $Z_{i}$ and $Y_{N(i)}$ is the corr. $Y$-value.

- For example, we can estimate

$$
\tau_{K}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y_{2}^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \mid X, Z\right]\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mid Z\right]\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right)\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[k\left(Y^{\prime}, \tilde{Y}^{\prime}\right) \mid Z\right]\right]}
$$

by a 1-NNG by

$$
\hat{\tau}_{n}:=\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{\dot{N}(i)}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{N(i)}\right)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(Y_{i}, Y_{N(i)}\right)}
$$

where $\left(X_{\ddot{N}(i)}, Z_{\ddot{N}(i)}\right)$ is NN of $\left(X_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$ and $Y_{\dot{N}(i)}$ is the corr. $Y$-value, and $Z_{N(i)}$ is NN of $Z_{i}$ and $Y_{N(i)}$ is the corr. $Y$-value.

- Consistency: $\hat{\tau}_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \tau_{K}$
- Automatic adaptation to the intrinsic dimensions of $\mu_{X}$ and $\mu_{X Z}$
- Can develop a fully automatic stepwise variable selection algorithm which is provably consistent (cf. Azadkia and Chatterjee, 2019)
(1) Family of Measures of Association
- A measure of dependence on Euclidean spaces
- Extending to a class of kernel measures
(2) Estimating the Kernel Measure of Association (KMAc)
- The estimator
- Consistency and rate of convergence
- Central limit theorem
- Computational complexity
(3) Other Applications of Kernels and Geometric Graphs
- A measure of conditional dependence
- A measure of dissimilarity between $M$-distributions
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- Define: $Y_{i j}=i, \quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, M$
- Consider $\left\{\left\{\left(X_{i j}, Y_{i j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{M} ; \quad X \sim \sum_{i=1}^{M} \pi_{i} P_{Y} ; \quad \pi_{i} \approx \frac{n_{i}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{n_{i} n_{\ell}}}$
- Result: (a) $P_{1}=\ldots=P_{M} \quad$ iff $\quad X \Perp Y$;
(b) $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{M}$ have disjoint supports iff $Y$ is a deterministic function of $X$
- "Similar" kernel and graph-based strategy yields a desired measure


## Summary

- Measure the strength of association between $X$ and $Y$ on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$
- Class of kernel measures of association (KMAc) when $\mathcal{Y}$ admits a nonnegative definite kernel
- Class of geometric graph-based, consistent estimators ( $\mathcal{X}$ - metric space) for KMAc without smoothness on the conditional distribution
- When $k$-NNG is used, the rate of convergence automatically adapts to the intrinsic dimension of the support of $\mu_{X}$
- Established a pivotal Gaussian limit uniformly over a class of graphs

Thank you very much!
Questions?

## Near Linear time Estimator

- Note

$$
\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \approx \mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)
$$

- Replace with

$$
\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i+1}\right) \approx \mathbb{E} K\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)
$$

- Define

$$
\hat{\eta}_{n}^{\operatorname{lin}}:=\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j:(i, j) \in E\left(G_{n}\right)} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i+1}\right)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K\left(Y_{i}, Y_{i+1}\right)}
$$

## Properties of $\hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}$

- When $G_{n}$ is $k$-NNG with $k=\mathcal{O}(1), \hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}$ takes $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time
- $\hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta_{K}$ (the same measure of association)
- $\hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}$ has the same rate of convergence as $\hat{\eta}_{n}$
- There exists a sequence of random variables $\tilde{V}_{n}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ such that:

$$
\frac{\sqrt{n} \hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}}{\tilde{V}_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

where $\tilde{V}_{n}$ can be computed in near linear time

- Yields a near linear time test for independence; cf. distance correlation (or HSIC) takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} B\right)$ time if $B$ permutations are used


## Properties of $\hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}$

- When $G_{n}$ is $k$-NNG with $k=\mathcal{O}(1), \hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}$ takes $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time
- $\hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \eta_{K}$ (the same measure of association)
- $\hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}$ has the same rate of convergence as $\hat{\eta}_{n}$
- There exists a sequence of random variables $\tilde{V}_{n}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ such that:

$$
\frac{\sqrt{n} \hat{\eta}_{n}^{\text {lin }}}{\tilde{V}_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

where $\tilde{V}_{n}$ can be computed in near linear time

- Yields a near linear time test for independence; cf. distance correlation (or HSIC) takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} B\right)$ time if $B$ permutations are used
- Price to pay: Has a higher asymptotic variance than $\hat{\eta}_{n}$
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